A Top-down Graph-based Tool for Modeling Classical Semantic Maps: A Crosslinguistic Case Study of Supplementary Adverbs Zhu Liu, Cunliang Kong, Ying Liu, Maosong Sun liuzhu22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

## What are clasical semantic maps?







Node: Concept / Meaning / Functions / Sense Forms: Function or Content Words / Affixes / Constructions Edge: Assoication between nodes Form-Concept Table: Multifunctionality/Polysemous patterns

Connectivity Hypothesis (H1): concepts for one form must be connected! Coverage-Predictivity Dilemma Vacuous Map: Cycled graph without any predictions, avoid it!

Form-Concept Table



#### Croft, William 2003 Typology and Universals (2nd edition). Cambridge: Cambridge Universitiy Press.

#### English preposition to

a. Goethe went to Vienna as a student. (direction) b. Eve gave the apple to Adam. (recipient) c. This seems outrageous to me. (experiencer) d. I left the party early to get home in time. (purpose)

#### English preposition for

a. My mom bought a bike for the son. (benefactive) b. The math is too difficult for me. (judicantis)

### French lui (DAT-case)

a. Je lui donne le livre. [l'Il give her the book] (recipient) b. Je lui ai trouvé unemploi [l found a job for him.] (benefactive) c. On lui a cassé la jambe [They broke his leg] (ext. possessor) d. Ce livre lui plaît [He likes this book] (experiencer)

Russian: u, DATive-case Chinese, Catalan, Homeric Greek, Basque ...



Haspelmath M. External possession in a European areal perspective[J]. Typological studies in language, 1999, 39: 109-136.

How to construct clasical semantic maps?

Bottom-up construction · Expert or previous programme • Iterative to meet connectivity hypothesis (H1) case by case



# Evaluations on a case study

- 9 languages, 28 grammatical forms and 18 functions
- · Supplementary Adverbs (The core meaning is to express supplement)
- The form-function table has been created by linguists in a bottom-up fashion.
- The golden map (GT) is provided as a reference

Metrics

· Size: summed weights

Index	Size↑	Recall↑	Precision↑	Accuracy↑
С	286	1	0	50.0
LT	-	-	-	79.0
GT	91	1	0.20	1
0	90	85.7	0.17	92.6
1	89	82.1	0.21	91.4
2	89	82.1	0.44	90.1
3	88	82.1	0.34	91.4
4	88	78.6	0.50	88.9

Round	<b>RG_</b> 1	RG_2
1	-17.8	-22.1



- Recall: {#cases meeting H1}/{Number of cases}
- Precision: {#cases meeting H1}/{Possible cases generated by graphs}
- · Accuracy: matching proportion according to golden reference.
- · Standard derivation of degrees: prefer a chain-like typology
- Baselines
- C: Complete graph
- LT: lower-bound with a "bad" tree without overlapping GT

2	-21.9	-22.4	
3	-20.5	-19.2	
4	-23.8	-21.7	
5	-23.1	-24.1	
Mean	-21.4	-21.9	
Std. Dev.	2.13	1.58	
	2 3 4 5 Mean Std. Dev.	2 -21.9 3 -20.5 4 -23.8 5 -23.1 Mean -21.4 Std. Dev. 2.13	2 -21.9 -22.4   3 -20.5 -19.2   4 -23.8 -21.7   5 -23.1 -24.1   Mean -21.4 -21.9   Std. Dev. 2.13 1.58

- a graph similar to that by experts (high accuracy)
- Multiple candidates
- A metric moderately correlated with the quality
- Failed edges mainly caused by the acyclicity (Like CD)

Rui Guo. 2010. A semantic map study of adverbs related to "supplement". In Paper presented at the International Symposium for Comparative and Typological Research on Languages of China, Hong Kong, China.











